

September 13, 2023

Agenda Item 7.b.

Attachment A: Comparison of Production Investment Target Models

BAHFA’s Production Funding Distribution Models

	Option 1: Return to Source		Option 2: Affordable RHNA	
	BAHFA Production Funds^{1,2,3}	Share of Regional Funding	BAHFA Production Funds^{1,2,3}	Share of Regional Funding
Alameda	\$178 - \$239	17.1%	\$215 - \$289	20.6%
Contra Costa	\$120 - \$162	11.6%	\$121 - \$163	11.7%
Marin	\$45 - \$62	4.4%	\$38 - \$51	3.6%
Napa	\$23 - \$31	2.2%	\$10 - \$14	1.0%
San Francisco	\$158 - \$213	15.2%	\$190 - \$255	18.2%
San Mateo	\$136 - \$183	13.1%	\$111 - \$149	10.7%
Santa Clara	\$294 - \$395	28.3%	\$294 - \$395	28.2%
Solano	\$32 - \$43	3.1%	\$25 - \$34	2.4%
Sonoma	\$52 - \$71	5.0%	\$36 - \$49	3.5%
Totals	\$1–\$1.4 billion	100%	\$1–\$1.4 billion	100%

Assuming \$10B Bond. Funding in millions. Amounts may not sum due to rounding.

- 1 *Direct-allocation cities included in their respective counties*
- 2 *Derived from current tax rolls; amounts could vary based on relative future tax rolls*
- 3 *Range depends on total allocation of 18% (BAHFA) and 28% (counties) “Flexible Funds”*